The Nina Kondelos Award is awarded to a female neuroscientist for outstanding contribution to basic or clinical neuroscience research.

## Conditions

- The nominee must be a female member of ANS and the achievement must be in the field of neuroscience.
- The nominee must not have received other major national or international prizes, such as the PM's Prize, Ramaciotti and Glaxo.

## How to Apply

- Each nominee must be proposed by one nominator, who must also list three supporters. Nominator and supporters must be members of ANS.
- The nomination must include a 1-page description of achievement and a 3-page CV. In preparing
  these, please be aware that research achievement <u>relative to opportunity</u> contributes 80% of the
  final score while contribution to the neuroscience research community contributes 20% of score see the assessment rubric below. If describing opportunity limitations, include consideration of
  time devoted to undergraduate teaching responsibilities, as well as any career disruptions that may
  have impacted upon achievement.
- Email the 1-page description of achievement and 3-page CV to the ANS Secretariat, <u>secretariat@ans.org.au</u>

## Assessment:

<u>Note</u>: The winner of the Nina Kondelos Award is decided by the ANS Council. The ranking information provided by assessors below will be considered by an assessment committee, together with any other relevant information, to formulate a recommendation to the Council.

**Assessors must sign and date their completed assessment**, scan it as a PDF, and then email the PDF to the **ANS Secretary** who collates the assessments. Note that only the Secretary will be aware of any assessor's scores and any committee discussion of scoring will be done with assessor identities concealed.

Scor	ing rubric	
0	No evidence given	Notes:
1	Very minor achievement / contribution	Research Achievement:
2	Minor achievement / contribution	<b>1.</b> Journal publications and preprints. Consider
2	Low achievement / contribution	number, quality, level of authorship, proportional
4	Significant but somewhat below average	contribution to the work, and the significance to
4	achievement / contribution	neuroscience. <i>Please note: In accordance with</i>
5	Average expected achievement / contribution	DORA guidelines, do not use journal-based
6	Somewhat above average achievement /	metrics, such as impact factor, as a surrogate
•	contribution	measure of the quality of an individual article. The
7	Well above average achievement /	scientific content of the paper is a much more
	contribution	important indicator than the identity or impact
8	Very high relative level of achievement /	factor of the journal in which it was published.
	contribution	
9	Exceptional achievement / contribution	2. Grants/fellowships won, employment, patents,
10	Outstandingly exceptional achievement /	conference presentations and invited talks.
	contribution	Please note: In accordance with DORA guidelines,
		the value and impact of ALL <u>research outputs,</u> including datasets and software, will be
		<u>considered</u> . Additionally, a broad range of impact
		measures, including qualitative measures, such as
		influence on policy and practice, will be
		considered.
		<u>consuccean</u>
		3. Research students supervised (with %
		supervision responsibility noted).
		Contribution to neuroscience research
		<u>community</u> :
		Activity supporting ANS or similar societies.
		Assistance in organisation of scientific meetings.
		Science publication for the general public.
		Assistance in organisation of public outreach
		activities/promotion of neuroscience research.
		Evidence of media engagement.

Enter a score of between **0** and **10** under the *Research achievement relative to opportunity* and the *Contribution to neuroscience community* criteria below. This is a score relative to your personal understanding of the range of achievement / contribution of a neuroscientist at this career stage <u>relative to</u> <u>opportunity</u> (e.g. include consideration of time devoted to undergraduate teaching responsibilities). Before you begin your assessment, please familiarise yourself with the recommendations of the **Declaration on Research Assessment (DORA)** at <u>https://sfdora.org/read/</u>

Candidate No. 1	Eligible? Yes or no	Research achievement relative to opportunity	Contribution to neuroscience community	Weighted Total/100
Name:				
Assessor score $\rightarrow$				
Weighting applied		X 8	X 2	

Candidate No. 2	Eligible? Yes or no	Research achievement relative to opportunity	Contribution to neuroscience community	Weighted Total/100
Name:				
Assessor score $\rightarrow$				
Weighting applied		X 8	X 2	

Candidate No. 3	Eligible?	Research achievement	Contribution to	Weighted
	Yes or no	relative to opportunity	neuroscience community	Total/100
Name:				
Assessor score $\rightarrow$				
Weighting applied		X 8	X 2	

Candidate No. 4	Eligible?	Research achievement	Contribution to	Weighted
	Yes or no	relative to opportunity	neuroscience community	Total/100
Name:				
Assessor score $\rightarrow$				
Weighting applied		X 8	X 2	

Candidate No. 5	Eligible? Yes or no	Research achievement relative to opportunity	Contribution to neuroscience community	Weighted Total/100
	resorno		neuroscience community	10(0) 100
Name:				
Assessor score $\rightarrow$				
Weighting applied		X 8	X 2	

Candidate No. 6	Eligible? Yes or no	Research achievement relative to opportunity	Contribution to neuroscience community	Weighted Total/100
Name:				
Assessor score $\rightarrow$				
Weighting applied		X 8	X 2	

Candidate No. 7	Eligible? Yes or no	Research achievement relative to opportunity	Contribution to neuroscience community	Weighted Total/100
Name:				
Assessor score $\rightarrow$				
Weighting applied		X 8	X 2	

I declare that I have no conflicts of interest in this assessment process and that the ranking shown above was performed by me.

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_