## <u>The A.W. Campbell Award</u> will recognise the best contribution by a member of the Society in their first five postdoctoral years

## Conditions

- You are eligible to apply if you received your Ph.D. up to seven years prior to the closing date but note the application should include only contributions during the first five postdoctoral years. Considerations for career disruptions will be made, please provide evidence.
- Applicants must be Members of ANS.

## How to Apply

- Email your CV and 3-page synopsis to the ANS Secretariat, **secretariat@ans.org.au**, and note there is no application form.
- Divide your synopsis into the following sections: **Research achievement relative to opportunity** (contributes 80% of score; see the assessment rubric below), **Contribution to neuroscience research community** (contributes 20% of score, see the assessment rubric below)
- Applicants must be Members of ANS.

## Assessment:

<u>Note</u>: The winner of the A. W. Campbell Award is decided by the ANS Council. The ranking information provided by assessors below will be considered by an assessment committee, together with any other relevant information, to formulate a recommendation to the Council.

**Assessors must sign and date their completed assessment**, scan it as a PDF, and then email the PDF to the **ANS Secretary** who collates the assessments. Note that only the Secretary will be aware of any assessor's scores and any committee discussion of scoring will be done with assessor identities concealed.

Sco	ring rubric				
0	No evidence given	Notes:			
1	Very minor achievement / contribution	Research Achievement:			
2	Minor achievement / contribution	<b>1.</b> Journal publications and preprints. Consider			
3	Low achievement / contribution	number, quality, level of authorship, proportional			
4	Significant but somewhat below average achievement / contribution	contribution to the work, and the significance to neuroscience. <i>Please note: In accordance with</i>			
5	Average expected achievement / contribution	DORA guidelines, do not use journal-based			
6	Somewhat above average achievement / contribution	metrics, such as impact factor, as a surrogate measure of the quality of an individual article. The			
7	Well above average achievement / contribution	scientific content of the paper is a much more important indicator than the identity or impact			
8	Very high relative level of achievement / contribution	factor of the journal in which it was published.			
9	Exceptional achievement / contribution	2. Grants/fellowships won, employment, patents,			
9 10	Outstandingly exceptional achievement / contribution	<ul> <li>conference presentations and invited talks.</li> <li>Please note: In accordance with DORA guidelines, the value and impact of ALL research outputs, including datasets and software, will be considered. Additionally, a broad range of impact measures, including qualitative measures, such as influence on policy and practice, will be considered.</li> </ul>			
		3. Research students supervised (with % supervision responsibility noted).			
		<u>Contribution to neuroscience research</u> <u>community</u> : Activity supporting ANS or similar societies. Assistance in organisation of scientific meetings. Science publication for the general public. Assistance in organisation of public outreach activities/promotion of neuroscience research. Evidence of media engagement.			

Enter a score of between **0** and **10** under the *Research achievement relative to opportunity* and the *Contribution to neuroscience community* criteria below. This is a score relative to your personal understanding of the range of achievement / contribution of a neuroscientist at this career stage <u>relative to</u> <u>opportunity</u> (e.g. include consideration of time devoted to undergraduate teaching responsibilities, as well as any career disruptions that may have impacted upon this). Before you begin your assessment, please familiarise yourself with the recommendations of the **Declaration on Research Assessment (DORA)** at <u>https://sfdora.org/read/</u>

Candidate No. 1	Eligible? Yes or no	Research achievement relative to opportunity	Contribution to neuroscience community	Weighted Total/100
Name:				
Assessor score $\rightarrow$				
Weighting applied		X 8	X 2	

Candidate No. 2	Eligible? Yes or no	Research achievement relative to opportunity	Contribution to neuroscience community	Weighted Total/100
Name:				
Assessor score $\rightarrow$				
Weighting applied		X 8	X 2	

Candidate No. 3	Eligible?	Research achievement	Contribution to	Weighted
	Yes or no	relative to opportunity	neuroscience community	Total/100
Name:				
Assessor score $\rightarrow$				
Weighting applied		X 8	X 2	

Candidate No. 4	Eligible?	Research achievement	Contribution to	Weighted
	Yes or no	relative to opportunity	neuroscience community	Total/100
Name:				
Assessor score $\rightarrow$				
Weighting applied		X 8	X 2	

Candidate No. 5	Eligible? Yes or no	Research achievement relative to opportunity	Contribution to neuroscience community	Weighted Total/100
	resorno		neuroscience community	10(0) 100
Name:				
Assessor score $\rightarrow$				
Weighting applied		X 8	X 2	

Candidate No. 6	Eligible? Yes or no	Research achievement relative to opportunity	Contribution to neuroscience community	Weighted Total/100
Name:				
Assessor score $\rightarrow$				
Weighting applied		X 8	X 2	

Candidate No. 7	Eligible? Yes or no	Research achievement relative to opportunity	Contribution to neuroscience community	Weighted Total/100
Name:				
Assessor score $\rightarrow$				
Weighting applied		X 8	X 2	

I declare that I have no conflicts of interest in this assessment process and that the ranking shown above was performed by me.

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_